

Science Fund of the Republic of Serbia - IDEAS

Project Grant Peer Review	Project Reference No:	Excellence Score:	
	Subprogram:	Impact Score:	
	Project Acronym:	Implementation Score:	
	Review Date:	Total Score:	

Title of Proposed Project

--

Review Information

Response Due Date		Reviewer Reference:	
-------------------	--	---------------------	--

Excellence

Please score and comment on excellence of the proposed research.

Excellence Assessment	Points
Are the specific objectives for the Project clear and measurable? (0-5)	
Are the specific objectives realistic and achievable within the duration of the Project? (0-5)	
Is the proposed research scientifically founded in recent scientific publications? (0-5)	
Is the proposed research beyond the state-of-the-art? (0-5)	
Are the planned results of the proposed research likely to be significant and (where relevant) applicable? (0-5)	
Is the proposed research likely to lead to publications in high-impact journals/books and other scholarly publications relevant for the scientific field of the proposal?	
Is the proposed research methodology appropriate to achieve the goals of the project? (0-5)	
To what extent is the proposed research original and novel, not just an extension of previous results? (0-5)	
Total (max 40 points)	

Note: Assign points using scores as: 0 (fail), 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent).

Description of the Excellence score (maximum 4000 characters)

For multi-disciplinary proposals please state which aspects of the proposal you feel qualified to assess. Please justify the given score and provide argumentation.

Impact


Please score and comment on the impact of the proposed research.

Impact Assessment	Points
Does the proposed research have a potential to have a global impact on the society, economy, industry, climate change, environment, healthcare, education and other aspects of social development? (0-5)	
Does the proposed research have a potential to contribute to the science, economy or society at the national level? (0-5)	
Does the proposed research have a potential to contribute to the development of the specific scientific field? (0-5)	
Are the proposed measures for dissemination and application of the results adequate and well planned? (0-5)	
Will the research results and/or acquired data be made available for other researchers beyond the project team (open research and open data)? (0-5)	
Does the proposed research identify stakeholders and beneficiaries who will be impacted by the Project? (0-5)	
Total (max 30 points)	

Note: Assign points using scores as: 0 (fail), 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent).

Description of the Impact score (maximum 4,000 characters)

Please justify the given score and provide argumentation.



Implementation

Please comment on the applicants' ability and commitment to deliver the proposed project, the effectiveness of the proposed planning and management and on whether the requested resources are appropriate and have been fully justified.

Implementation Assessment	Points
Does the PI demonstrate the necessary scientific/scholarly credentials and scientific leadership in the field of the project proposal? (0-5)	
Do the key members of the Project team demonstrate the necessary scientific/scholarly credentials necessary for the project implementation?	
Are the proposed research methodology and work plan coherent and effective to achieve the Project objectives and impact, including adequate allocation of resources to tasks and members? (0-5)	
Are the roles of the Project team members clearly outlined, and are their fields of expertise complementary, such that the Project team has all the necessary know-how? (0-5)	
Is the risk management properly implemented and are the mitigation measures well planned? (0-5)	
Is the budget realistic and well balanced? (0-5)	
Total (max 30 points)	

Note: Assign points using scores as: 0 (fail), 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent).

Description of the Implementation score (maximum 4000 characters)

Please justify the given score and provide argumentation.

Overall Assessment

Overall Score (enter the total number of points):	
---	--

Please summarize your view of the proposal (maximum 4000 characters).

My judgment is that (Place an **X** next to the relevant option):

This proposal is scientifically or technically flawed	
This proposal does not meet one or more of the assessment criteria	
This proposal meets all assessment criteria, but with clear weaknesses	
This is a good proposal that meets all assessment criteria, but with minor weaknesses	
This is a strong proposal that broadly meets all assessment criteria	
This is a very strong proposal that fully meets all assessment criteria	

Reviewer Expertise (maximum 4000 characters)

Please indicate the areas of expertise that are relevant to your assessment. Take care not to reveal your identity to the applicant.